

Critical Information Infrastructure:

How to successfully be prepared for emergency situations

Steve Purser

Head of Technical Competence Department

June 2011

Agenda

- ★ ENISA's Activities
- ★ Supporting the CIIP Action Plan.



Who are we?

- ★ The European Network & Information Security Agency (ENISA) was formed in 2004.
- ★ The Agency is a *Centre of Expertise* that supports the Commission and the EU Member States in the area of information security.
- ★ We facilitate the exchange of information between EU institutions, the public sector and the private sector.



Activities

- ★ The Agency's principal activities are as follows:
 - ★ Advising and assisting the Commission and the Member States on information security.
 - ★ Collecting and analysing data on security practices in Europe and emerging risks.
 - ★ Promoting risk assessment and risk management methods.
 - ★ Awareness-raising and co-operation between different actors in the information security field.



II. Supporting the CIIP Action Plan



The Commission CIIP Communication

- ★ “Protecting Europe from large scale cyber-attacks and disruptions: enhancing preparedness, security and resilience” – published 30 March.
- ★ Strengthens the role of ENISA.
- ★ Activities within the scope of the European Program for Critical Infrastructure protection (EPCIP).
- ★ Proposes five areas, or ‘pillars’, of action.
- ★ ENISA is explicitly called upon to contribute to three of these areas.



The Role of ENISA

- ★ ENISA's role is to proactively support Member States in achieving the objectives of the CIIP action plan.
- ★ Member States must take the lead in addressing the issues.
- ★ ENISA is currently supporting the CIIP action plan in a number of ways:
 - ★ Assisting Member States in the planning process.
 - ★ Setting up mechanisms to facilitate the establishment and day-to-day running of key instruments (European Forum for IS, EP3R, ...).
 - ★ Providing input in the form of studies and best practices.



EFMS

- ★ The **European Forum for Member States** builds on national approaches to CIIP.
- ★ It will be used to foster common understanding of the issues and strategies for dealing with them.
- ★ ENISA is supporting this initiative in the following way:
 - ★ Assisting the Commission and Member States in defining a roadmap for the EFMS.
 - ★ Ensuring exchange of expertise on policy and operational aspects.
 - ★ Provision of good practice guides as a starting point.
 - ★ Identifying significant risks and proposing suitable mitigation strategies.



EP3R

- ★ The **European PPP for Resilience** will provide a framework for supporting collaboration between public and private sectors on NIS policy issues.
- ★ There are many challenges in establishing such a PPP, but we can learn a lot from national initiatives.
- ★ ENISA is supporting this initiative in the following way:
 - ★ Summarising lessons learned from national PPPs.
 - ★ Identifying challenges and obstacles.
 - ★ Working together with Member States to identify a common approach.
 - ★ Supporting the creation and day-to-day running of EP3R



First Pan European Exercise

- ★ Table top exercise
- ★ Incidents affecting all Member States
- ★ Tested only communication aspects
- ★ Involvement of public authorities/bodies only
- ★ Concentrated on members of the CIIP community
– no political escalation
- ★ Test Carried out on 4 November 2010



Objectives

- ★ Increase understanding of how cyber incidents are handled by Member States
- ★ Test communication points and procedures between participating Member States
- ★ Build trust among participants - help to establish mutual support procedures
- ★ Create a CIIP community with a focus on exercises
- ★ Highlight interdependencies between MS across Europe



Objectives - Measures

- ★ Measures to test:
 - ★ The contact points in the MS.
 - ★ The communications channels and the type of data exchanged over these channels.
 - ★ The understanding that MS have of the role and mandate of their counterparts in other MS.



The Scenario

- ★ The scenario was not the focus of the test **but was used to support the test.**
- ★ Based on a Cyber Incident.
 - ★ The impact is on IP networks - large operators – cross country interconnections.
 - ★ We assume that voice (PSTN/Mobile) communications are not affected.
 - ★ Similarly, supporting facilities, such as power supply are not affected.
- ★ Implemented as 320 injects.



Participation

- ★ All EU Member States and 3 EFTA countries (Switzerland, Norway, Iceland) participated
- ★ Profile of Participants:
 - ★ Ministries, National Regulatory Agencies, CIIP and Information Security related organisations, CSIRTs and other related stakeholders
 - ★ 70 organisations and 150 experts
- ★ The role of ENISA was to help Member States to prepare - facilitation and project management.
- ★ The role of the JRC was to provide scientific and technical support for the exercise itself.



Findings – Planning & Structure

- ★ Planning phase benefited from the interaction among the participants and was key to success.
- ★ The exercise was very resource intensive.
- ★ The technical exercise set-up, the participants training and the Dry Run were also key success factors.
- ★ The exercise set-up and scenario were well-chosen and enabled a varied level of activity throughout the exercise.
- ★ Having Member State moderators in the same room as the players was very useful.



Findings – Building Trust



- ★ Member States should develop the contacts made to establish a solid CIIP network.
- ★ Member States should continue to organise pan-European exercises in the area of CIIP.
- ★ Future exercises could involve a pre-exercise conference.
- ★ ENISA should:
 - ★ Facilitate the establishment of an information exchange mechanism.
 - ★ Facilitate the creation of smaller sub-groups focusing on specific topics.
- ★ Member States should:
 - ★ Organise debriefing with their players in order to build trust.

Findings – Understanding

- ★ The exercise increased the understanding of the MS on how incidents are handled.
- ★ A deeper understanding could have been reached if national pre-exercise workshops had been conducted in the planning phase.
- ★ Aligning procedures between MS would be a useful step towards pan-European crisis management.
- ★ National contingency plans should be developed and tested on a regular basis.
- ★ Procedures on how to handle cyber incidents do not yet exist on a pan-European level. Such procedures need to be developed and tested in future exercises.



Findings – Points of Contact

- ★ The ability to find the relevant points of contact varied between and within Member States.
- ★ In the event of a real crisis, 55% of Member States were NOT confident that they could quickly locate the appropriate contact in another Member State.
- ★ The most important characteristics of a useful directory are to be available, up-to-date, clear, well-structured and contain detailed information.
- ★ ENISA should make directory information available via the exercise portal.
- ★ The dialogue on Single Points of Contact should continue.



Main Recommendations

- ★ The main recommendations that arose out of the exercise are as follows:
- ★ Future exercises should involve the private sector.
- ★ Lessons-learned should be shared with other national or international exercises.
- ★ Member States should be well-organised internally:
 - ★ E.g. By developing national contingency plans.
- ★ A roadmap for pan-European exercises and preparedness should be created.
 - ★ This will include the definition of Standard Procedures.



CYBER EUROPE 2012 - Next Steps

- ★ Second pan European CIIP exercise
 - ★ Official kick off in May 2011.
 - ★ Liaising with other activities related to exercises will be key to success.
- ★ Draft list of Standard Operating Procedures.
- ★ Draft longer term Roadmap for Exercises
- ★ Next Planning Workshop will be held in June.

